

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/435,448	11/22/1999	YASUYOSHI YAMADA	Q56857	5236
7	7590 05/14/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK AND SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037			GRAYBILL, DAVID E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2827		

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/435,448	YAMADA, YASUYOSHI	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E Graybill	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiple ch	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three magnetic patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the significant statutory set in the significant set in the significant statutory set in the significant statutory	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	· ·	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note in	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
<ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req place the application in condition for allow</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>	ance because: See Continuation S	Sheet.	
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449)		
10. Other:	· · ·	Leu E IND	
		David E Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2827	

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/435,448

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On cursory consideration, the request for reconsideration does not appear to overcome the rejections .